

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Gavan
Given Name	Yvonne
Person ID	1286147
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Gavan
Given Name	Yvonne
Person ID	1286147
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>As a local resident, I am emailing to outline my objection to site allocation 35 "North of Mosley Common" within the Places for Everyone proposals. It proposes 1100 houses; this is despite 100s of objections to the original proposal (GMSF).</p> <p>I strongly believe that the development is unsuitable for our area for various reasons. Primarily that the site swallows almost all of our local green spaces. Every day this is used and enjoyed by local residents and families.</p> <p>With any development increased traffic is always an issue. The area has already seen 100s of new houses built in recent years; yet there have been NO improvements to the road infrastructure or safety. The house builders in recent years were asked to pay a lot of money to improve and widen the junction of Mosley Common Road and the East Lancashire Road which they paid, but NOTHING was done! An extra 1100 houses would bring an estimated 1400 cars to the local area! This would be unsafe if again no improvements are made and standstill traffic would be even more of an issue than it already is. This too posing a great risk to local air quality and the environment. Ironically, totally contradicting GM's Clean Air Plan.</p> <p>Disappointingly, there is little detail outlined in the proposal regarding new schools, health provisions, and recreation provision. These facilities are so important to local areas and residents. In truth, it's hard to see at the moment how these plans will benefit the local area at all</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect	<p>The plan can not be made legally compliant and sound without taking away our green spaces, so do not go ahead with this plan.</p> <p>There is little room to accommodate improvements in the infrastructure (roads) Extra school places are required in already oversubscribed local schools. To meet GM's clean air plan you would reduce the amount of traffic, not add more to it. . Improve public transport, building further houses will</p>

of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.

only increase traffic. This and many other factors make the proposal just not viable.